

Binary Outcome Panel Data

Example Questions and Solutions

230347: Advanced Microeconometrics

Question 1

Fixed Effects Logit Model with $T = 2$

Consider the model:

$$y_{it}^* = \mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{it} \quad i = 1, \dots, N \quad t = 1, 2$$

$$y_{it} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y_{it}^* > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

ε_{it} are iid logistic so:

$$\Pr(y_{it} = 1 | \mathbf{x}_{it}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \alpha_i) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \alpha_i)}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \alpha_i)}$$

(i) Show that the likelihood of $\Pr(y_{i1}, y_{i2} | \mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \alpha_i)$, where $\mathbf{x}_i = (\mathbf{x}_{i1}, \mathbf{x}_{i2})$ can be written as:

$$\Pr(y_{i1}, y_{i2} | \mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \alpha_i) = \frac{\exp\left(\sum_{t=1}^2 y_{it}(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right)}{[1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{i1}\boldsymbol{\beta})][1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{i2}\boldsymbol{\beta})]}$$

(ii) What is the probability that $y_{i1} + y_{i2} = 1$?

(iii) What is the probability that $y_{i1} = 1$ and $y_{i2} = 0$ conditional on $y_{i1} + y_{i2} = 1$?

(iv) Show that the individual effects α_i cancel in the conditional likelihood in (iii).

Solution

(i) Since ε_{it} is iid logistic, the likelihood of (y_{i1}, y_{i2}) is:

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_{i1}, y_{i2} | \mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \alpha_i) &= \prod_{t=1}^2 \left(\frac{\exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right)^{y_{it}} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right)^{1-y_{it}} \\ &= \prod_{t=1}^2 \left(\frac{\exp(y_{it}(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta}))}{1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right) \\ &= \frac{\exp(y_{i1}(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{i1}\boldsymbol{\beta}))}{1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{i1}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \times \frac{\exp(y_{i2}(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{i2}\boldsymbol{\beta}))}{1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{i2}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \\ &= \frac{\exp\left(\sum_{t=1}^2 y_{it}(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right)}{[1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{i1}\boldsymbol{\beta})][1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{i2}\boldsymbol{\beta})]} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If $y_{i1} + y_{i2} = 1$, then we have either $(y_{i1}, y_{i2}) = (1, 0)$ or $(y_{i1}, y_{i2}) = (0, 1)$. Therefore:

$$\Pr(y_{i1} + y_{i2} = 1) = \Pr((1, 0)) + \Pr((0, 1))$$

Using the answer in part (i):

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr((1, 0)) &= \frac{\exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{i1}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{[1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{i1}\boldsymbol{\beta})][1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{i2}\boldsymbol{\beta})]} \\ \Pr((0, 1)) &= \frac{\exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{i2}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{[1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{i1}\boldsymbol{\beta})][1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{i2}\boldsymbol{\beta})]}\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

So:

$$\Pr(y_{i1} + y_{i2} = 1) = \frac{\exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{i1}\boldsymbol{\beta}) + \exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{i2}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{[1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{i1}\boldsymbol{\beta})][1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{i2}\boldsymbol{\beta})]}\tag{2}$$

(iii) The conditional probability is:

$$\Pr((1, 0) | y_{i1} + y_{i2} = 1) = \frac{\Pr(1, 0)}{\Pr(y_{i1} + y_{i2} = 1)}$$

This is simply dividing equations (1) and (2) above. Since the denominators are identical, this is:

$$\Pr((1, 0) | y_{i1} + y_{i2} = 1) = \frac{\exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{i1}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{i1}\boldsymbol{\beta}) + \exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{i2}\boldsymbol{\beta})}$$

(iv)

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr((1, 0) | y_{i1} + y_{i2} = 1) &= \frac{\exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{i1}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{i1}\boldsymbol{\beta}) + \exp(\alpha_i + \mathbf{x}'_{i2}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \\ &= \frac{e^{\alpha_i} \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{i1}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{e^{\alpha_i} \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{i1}\boldsymbol{\beta}) + e^{\alpha_i} \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{i2}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \\ &= \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{i1}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{i1}\boldsymbol{\beta}) + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{i2}\boldsymbol{\beta})}\end{aligned}$$

Question 2

Dynamic Fixed Effects Logit Without Regressors

Suppose we have the model $y_{it} = \mathbb{1}\{\alpha_i + \rho y_{it-1} + \varepsilon_{it} > 0\}$, for $t = 2, \dots, T$, where ε_{it} is distributed logit. Then:

$$\Pr(y_{it} = 1 | y_{it-1}, \alpha_i, \rho) = \frac{\exp(\alpha_i + \rho y_{it-1})}{1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \rho y_{it-1})}$$

Assume $\Pr(y_{i1} = 1 | \alpha_i) = p_1(\alpha_i)$ and let $\tilde{p}_1(\alpha_i, y_{i1}) = [p_1(\alpha_i)]^{y_{i1}} [1 - p_1(\alpha_i)]^{1-y_{i1}}$. Individual i 's contribution to the likelihood is:

$$f(\mathbf{y}_i | \mathbf{y}_{-i}, \alpha_i, \rho) = \tilde{p}_1(\alpha_i, y_{i1}) \frac{\exp\left(\alpha_i \sum_{t=2}^T y_{it}\right) \exp\left(\rho \sum_{t=2}^T y_{it-1} y_{it}\right)}{\prod_{t=2}^T [1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \rho y_{it-1})]}$$

The goal of this exercise is to show that the likelihood conditional on y_{i1} , y_{iT} , and $\sum_{t=2}^T y_{it}$ is independent of α_i .

(i) Show that we can rewrite the denominator of the likelihood as:

$$\prod_{t=2}^T [1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \rho y_{it-1})] = [1 + \exp(\alpha_i)]^{T-1-y_{i1}+y_{iT}-\sum_{t=2}^T y_{it}} [1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \rho)]^{y_{i1}-y_{iT}+\sum_{t=2}^T y_{it}}$$

Hint: You should use that $\sum_{t=2}^T y_{it-1} = y_{i1} - y_{iT} + \sum_{t=2}^T y_{it}$.

(ii) Define the set $\mathcal{B}_i = \left\{ \mathbf{d}_i : d_{i1} = y_{i1}, d_{iT} = y_{iT}, \sum_{t=2}^T d_{it} = \sum_{t=2}^T y_{it} \right\}$. This is the set of possible vectors of length T where the first element is y_{i1} , the last element is y_{iT} and the sum of elements 2 to T is $\sum_{t=2}^T y_{it}$. Using your answer to (i), write down:

$$\Pr \left(d_{i1} = y_{i1}, d_{iT} = y_{iT}, \sum_{t=2}^T d_{it} = \sum_{t=2}^T y_{it} \right) = \sum_{\mathbf{d}_i \in \mathcal{B}_i} \Pr(\mathbf{d}_i)$$

explicitly.

(iii) Use the answers in (i) and (ii) to show that the likelihood conditional on y_{i1} , y_{iT} and $\sum_{t=1}^T y_{it}$ is equal to:

$$f \left(\mathbf{y}_i \mid y_{i1} = d_{i1}, y_{iT} = d_{iT}, \sum_{t=2}^T y_{it} = \sum_{t=2}^T d_{it} \right) = \frac{\exp \left(\rho \sum_{t=2}^T y_{it-1} y_{it} \right)}{\sum_{\mathbf{d}_i \in \mathcal{B}_i} \exp \left(\rho \sum_{t=2}^T d_{it-1} d_{it} \right)}$$

(iv) Is it possible to identify ρ in this model with $T = 3$? Explain why or why not.

Solution

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{t=2}^T [1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \rho y_{it-1})] &= \prod_{t=2}^T [1 + \exp(\alpha_i)]^{1-y_{it-1}} [1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \rho)]^{y_{it-1}} \\ &= [1 + \exp(\alpha_i)]^{\sum_{t=2}^T 1-y_{it-1}} [1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \rho)]^{\sum_{t=2}^T y_{it-1}} \\ &= [1 + \exp(\alpha_i)]^{T-1-y_{i1}+y_{iT}-\sum_{t=2}^T y_{it}} [1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \rho)]^{y_{i1}-y_{iT}+\sum_{t=2}^T y_{it}} \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pr \left(d_{i1} = y_{i1}, d_{iT} = y_{iT}, \sum_{t=2}^T d_{it} = \sum_{t=2}^T y_{it} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{d}_i \in \mathcal{B}_i} \Pr(\mathbf{d}_i) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{d}_i \in \mathcal{B}_i} \tilde{p}_1(\alpha_i, d_{i1}) \frac{\exp \left(\alpha_i \sum_{t=2}^T d_{it} \right) \exp \left(\rho \sum_{t=2}^T d_{it-1} d_{it} \right)}{\prod_{t=2}^T [1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \rho d_{it-1})]} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{d}_i \in \mathcal{B}_i} \tilde{p}_1(\alpha_i, d_{i1}) \frac{\exp \left(\alpha_i \sum_{t=2}^T d_{it} \right) \exp \left(\rho \sum_{t=2}^T d_{it-1} d_{it} \right)}{[1 + \exp(\alpha_i)]^{T-1-d_{i1}+d_{iT}-\sum_{t=2}^T d_{it}} [1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \rho)]^{d_{i1}-d_{iT}+\sum_{t=2}^T d_{it}}} \end{aligned}$$

(iii)

$$f\left(\mathbf{y}_i \mid d_{i1} = y_{i1}, d_{iT} = y_{iT}, \sum_{t=2}^T d_{it} = \sum_{t=2}^T y_{it}\right) = \frac{\Pr\left(\mathbf{y}_i, d_{i1} = y_{i1}, d_{iT} = y_{iT}, \sum_{t=2}^T d_{it} = \sum_{t=2}^T y_{it}\right)}{\Pr\left(d_{i1} = y_{i1}, d_{iT} = y_{iT}, \sum_{t=2}^T d_{it} = \sum_{t=2}^T y_{it}\right)}$$

$$= \frac{\Pr(\mathbf{y}_i)}{\Pr\left(d_{i1} = y_{i1}, d_{iT} = y_{iT}, \sum_{t=2}^T d_{it} = \sum_{t=2}^T y_{it}\right)}$$

as knowing $\sum_{t=2}^T y_{it}$ does not add to the knowledge of \mathbf{y}_i . The numerator of this resulting expression is:

$$\tilde{p}_1(\alpha_i, y_{i1}) \frac{\exp\left(\alpha_i \sum_{t=2}^T y_{it}\right) \exp\left(\rho \sum_{t=2}^T y_{it-1} y_{it}\right)}{[1 + \exp(\alpha_i)]^{T-1-y_{i1}+y_{iT}-\sum_{t=2}^T y_{it}} [1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \rho)]^{y_{i1}-y_{iT}+\sum_{t=2}^T y_{it}}}$$

The denominator is the answer to (ii):

$$\sum_{\mathbf{d}_i \in \mathcal{B}_i} \tilde{p}_1(\alpha_i, d_{i1}) \frac{\exp\left(\alpha_i \sum_{t=2}^T d_{it}\right) \exp\left(\rho \sum_{t=2}^T d_{it-1} d_{it}\right)}{[1 + \exp(\alpha_i)]^{T-1-d_{i1}+d_{iT}-\sum_{t=2}^T d_{it}} [1 + \exp(\alpha_i + \rho)]^{d_{i1}-d_{iT}+\sum_{t=2}^T d_{it}}}$$

Since $d_{i1} = y_{i1}$, $d_{iT} = y_{iT}$ and $\sum_{t=2}^T d_{it} = \sum_{t=2}^T y_{it}$, the denominators of these expressions cancel, as well as the $\tilde{p}_1(\alpha_i, y_{i1})$. This leaves us with:

$$\frac{\exp\left(\alpha_i \sum_{t=2}^T y_{it}\right) \exp\left(\rho \sum_{t=2}^T y_{it-1} y_{it}\right)}{\sum_{\mathbf{d}_i \in \mathcal{B}_i} \exp\left(\alpha_i \sum_{t=2}^T d_{it}\right) \exp\left(\rho \sum_{t=2}^T d_{it-1} d_{it}\right)}$$

Finally, since $\sum_{t=2}^T d_{it} = \sum_{t=2}^T y_{it}$, we get:

$$\frac{\exp\left(\rho \sum_{t=2}^T y_{it-1} y_{it}\right)}{\sum_{\mathbf{d}_i \in \mathcal{B}_i} \exp\left(\rho \sum_{t=2}^T d_{it-1} d_{it}\right)}$$

(iv) No. If we condition on $y_{i1} = d_1$ and $y_{i3} = d_3$, then there is only one possible sequence of y_{it} s such that $y_{i2} + y_{i3} = d_2 + d_3$ since d_3 is fixed. Since \mathcal{B} is always a singleton set when $T = 3$, the conditional likelihood is 1 for any ρ .

Question 3

In this question we will consider inference in the static pooled logit model:

$$y_{it} = \mathbb{1}\{\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \varepsilon_{it} > 0\}$$

where \mathbf{x}_{it} has K elements (including a constant) and ε_{it} is distributed logistic. Individual i 's contribution to the likelihood is:

$$f(y_{it} | \mathbf{x}_{it}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \frac{\exp(y_{it} \mathbf{x}'_{it} \boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it} \boldsymbol{\beta})}$$

The log-likelihood function is:

$$\ell\ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T \log(f(y_{it}|\mathbf{x}_{it}, \boldsymbol{\beta}))$$

The maximum likelihood estimator of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \ell\ell(\boldsymbol{\beta})$$

(i) Show that the j th element of the gradient of the log likelihood is equal to:

$$\frac{\partial \ell\ell(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \beta_j} = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T x_{itj} \left(y_{it} - \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right)$$

where β_j and x_{itj} are the j th elements of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and \mathbf{x}_{it} , respectively.

(ii) Show that the j th row and k th column of the Hessian of the log likelihood is:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell\ell(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \beta_j \partial \beta_k} = - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta}) x_{itj} x_{itk}}{[1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2}$$

(iii) This part begins with describing the variance-covariance estimator for $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ (and more generally any maximum likelihood estimator). Taking a Taylor series approximation of the likelihood around the true $\boldsymbol{\beta}$:

$$\ell\ell(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \ell\ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}) + \ell\ell'(\boldsymbol{\beta}) (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}) + \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})' \ell\ell''(\boldsymbol{\beta}) (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})$$

Taking first-order conditions of this, i.e. $\ell\ell'(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \mathbf{0}$, gives our maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$:

$$\ell\ell'(\boldsymbol{\beta}) + (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})' \ell\ell''(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{0}$$

$\ell\ell'(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ is $1 \times K$ and $\ell\ell''(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ is $K \times K$. Transposing and rearranging:

$$\ell\ell''(\boldsymbol{\beta}) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}) = [\ell\ell'(\boldsymbol{\beta})]'$$

Pre-multiplying by $[-\ell\ell''(\boldsymbol{\beta})]^{-1}$ gives:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta} = [-\ell\ell''(\boldsymbol{\beta})]^{-1} [\ell\ell'(\boldsymbol{\beta})]'$$

The variance-covariance matrix of the estimator $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is then the expectation of the outer product of the above expression:

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} | \mathbf{X}) = \mathbb{E} \left[[-\ell\ell''(\boldsymbol{\beta})]^{-1} [\ell\ell'(\boldsymbol{\beta})]' [\ell\ell'(\boldsymbol{\beta})] [-\ell\ell''(\boldsymbol{\beta})]^{-1} \middle| \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \right]$$

where \mathbf{X} is the matrix of all NT observations and K regressors. If the model is correctly specified (in particular, each observation (i, t) being independent), then $\mathbb{E}[-\ell\ell''(\boldsymbol{\beta})]^{-1} = \mathbb{E}[[\ell\ell'(\boldsymbol{\beta})]' [\ell\ell'(\boldsymbol{\beta})]]$ and the formula reduces to:

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} | \mathbf{X}) = \mathbb{E} \left[[-\ell\ell''(\boldsymbol{\beta})]^{-1} \middle| \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \right]$$

In this part, you will show that $\mathbb{E}[-\ell''(\boldsymbol{\beta})]^{-1} = \mathbb{E}[[\ell'(\boldsymbol{\beta})]'[\ell'(\boldsymbol{\beta})]]$ for the case of the static pooled logit model. Using the expressions in parts (i) and (ii) above, show that if each observation (i, t) is independent, the j th row and k th column of the outer product of the gradient vector equals the negative of the corresponding element of the Hessian matrix in expectation:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \beta_j} \frac{\partial \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \beta_k} \middle| \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \right] = -\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \beta_j \partial \beta_k} \middle| \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta}) x_{itj} x_{itk}}{[1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2}$$

Solution

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \beta_j} &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\frac{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\exp(y_{it}\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right) \frac{[1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})] \exp(y_{it}\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta}) y_{it} x_{itj} - \exp(y_{it}\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta}) \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta}) x_{itj}}{[1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{[1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})] y_{it} x_{itj} - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta}) x_{itj}}{[1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})]} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T x_{itj} \left[y_{it} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right) + (1 - y_{it}) \frac{-\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{[1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})]} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T x_{itj} \left[y_{it} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right) + \frac{-\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{[1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})]} + y_{it} \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{[1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})]} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T x_{itj} \left(y_{it} - \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right) \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \beta_j \partial \beta_k} &= - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{[1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})] \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta}) x_{itj} x_{itk} - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta}) \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta}) x_{itj} x_{itk}}{[1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2} \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta}) x_{itj} x_{itk}}{[1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2} \end{aligned}$$

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \beta_j} \frac{\partial \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \beta_k} \middle| \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \right] &= \\ \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T x_{itj} \left(y_{it} - \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right) \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=1}^T x_{jst} \left(y_{js} - \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{js}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{js}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right) \middle| \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \right] & \end{aligned}$$

This is a sum of $(N \times T)^2$ terms. If $i \neq j$ or $t \neq s$ (or both), then one element of the sum is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[x_{itj} \left(y_{it} - \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right) x_{j sk} \left(y_{js} - \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{js}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{js}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right) \middle| \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[x_{itj} x_{j sk} y_{it} y_{js} - x_{itj} x_{j sk} y_{it} \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{js}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{js}\boldsymbol{\beta})} - x_{itj} x_{j sk} y_{js} \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + x_{itj} x_{j sk} \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{js}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{js}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \middle| \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Each observation is independent. Since $\mathbb{E}[y_{it} | \mathbf{x}_{it}, \boldsymbol{\beta}] = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}$, all these terms cancel in expectation.

To see this:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[x_{itj} x_{j sk} y_{it} y_{js} | \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\beta}] &= x_{itj} x_{j sk} \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{js}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{js}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \\ \mathbb{E} \left[x_{itj} x_{j sk} y_{it} \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{js}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{js}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \middle| \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \right] &= x_{itj} x_{j sk} \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{js}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{js}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \\ \mathbb{E} \left[x_{itj} x_{j sk} y_{js} \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \middle| \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \right] &= x_{itj} x_{j sk} \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{js}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{js}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \end{aligned}$$

So now we are left with:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \beta_j} \frac{\partial \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \beta_k} \middle| \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T x_{itj} x_{itk} \left(y_{it} - \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right)^2 \middle| \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \right]$$

Consider one element of the sum:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[x_{itj} x_{itk} \left(y_{it} - \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right)^2 \middle| \mathbf{x}_{it}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \right] &= x_{itj} x_{itk} \left(0 - \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right)^2 \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \\ & \quad + x_{itj} x_{itk} \left(1 - \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right)^2 \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \end{aligned}$$

This is:

$$\begin{aligned} & x_{itj} x_{itk} \frac{[\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2}{[1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2} \frac{1}{[1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})]} + x_{itj} x_{itk} \frac{1}{[1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2} \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \\ &= x_{itj} x_{itk} [\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})] \frac{[1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})]}{[1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})]^3} \\ &= x_{itj} x_{itk} \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{[1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2} \end{aligned}$$

Taking all terms together:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T x_{itj} x_{itk} \left(y_{it} - \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right)^2 \middle| \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T x_{itj} x_{itk} \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{[1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2}$$